

International Union of Laboratories and Experts in Construction Materials, Systems and Structures

RILEM Technical Committee 206 - ATB Task Group 2: Mixture Design & Compaction

Aaron Coenen, Enad Mahmoud, M. Emin Kutay & Hussain Bahia

January 12, 2010 Washington, D.C.





Update on LCPC Field Images vs. Laboratory Compaction Methods

Aaron Coenen, Enad Mahmoud, M. Emin Kutay & Hussain Bahia

January 12, 2010 Washington, D.C.





Updates since last Meeting

- Additional images of laboratory compacted specimens examined
- Severity and predominant angle of aggregate orientation determined for each compaction method
- LCPC field images analyzed and compared to results of laboratory compaction methods



2



Laboratory methods



Compaction Method	δ	Α
Hveem	106	5.14
Marshall	122	2.78
German Steel Sector	138	6.38
Superpave Gyratory	83	7.3





Field Images







Coenen, Mahmoud, Kutay & Bahia



Field Images



Compaction Method	δ	Α
High Resolution	180	6.05
Low Resolution	179	13.38





Comparison: Lab vs. Field



Compaction Method	δ	Α
Hveem	106	5.14
Marshall	122	2.78
German Steel Sector	138	6.38
Superpave Gyratory	83	7.3

Compaction Method	δ	Α
High Resolution	180	6.05
Low Resolution	179	13.38







• Questions?

- For more information, please contact Mr. Aaron Coenen:
 - -arcoenen@wisc.edu



